UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: . Case No. 22-20823-GLT

•

U LOCK INC, 5414 U.S. Steel Tower

600 Grant Street

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Debtor. .

January 27, 2023

.. 10:00 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF #249 AND #278 HEARING ON ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE: # 230 - AFFIDAVIT FILED BY SHANNI SNYDER; #231 - AFFIDAVIT FILED BY CHRISTINE BIROS; #233 - DECLARATION OF GEORGE SNYDER; #234 - SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF GEORGE SNYDER; #235 -DECLARATION OF GEORGE SNYDER; #236 - STATUS REPORT FILED BY CHRISTINE BIROS; #294 HEARING ON ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE: #258 APPLICATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES FILED BY CREDITOR CHRISTINE BIROS; #228 STIPULATION BY SHANNI SNYDER AND BETWEEN CHARLES O. ZEBLEY, JR., CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE, AND ROBERT H. SLONE, CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE; #255 MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY FILED BY CHRISTINE BIROS; #274 MOTION TO ENFORCE ORDER CONFIRMING SALE OF TANGIBLE AND INTANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY OF THE ESTATE UNDER 11 U.S.C. SECTION 363(F) FREE AND CLEAR OF ALL LIENS, CLAIMS AND ENCUMBRANCES FILED BY SHANNI SNYDER BEFORE HONORABLE GREGORY L. TADDONIO UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: Law Office of J. Allen Roth

By: J. ALLEN ROTH, ESQ. 805 S Alexandria Street

Latrobe, PA 15650

ECRO: Hayley Smith

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. 268 Evergreen Avenue Hamilton, New Jersey 08619 E-mail: jjcourt@jjcourt.com

(609) 586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

APPEARANCES (Cont'd):

For Shanni Snyder, Petitioning Creditor: Grenen & Birsic, P.C. By: JOHN B. JOYCE, ESQ.

JEREMY J. KOBESKI, ESQ. One Gateway Center, Suite 9W

Pittsburgh, PA 15222

For Christine Biros:

Bernstein-Burkley, P.C.

By: ROBERT S. BERNSTEIN, ESQ.

LARA S. MARTIN, ESQ. 601 Grant Street, 9th Floor

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

For Christine Biros, Lead Counsel in the State Court Action: The Law Firm of William E. Otto By: WILLIAM E. OTTO, ESQ.

4027 Old William Penn Highway

P.O. Box 701

Murrysville, PA 15668

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:

Chapter 7 Trustee:

Mahady & Mahady

By: ROBERT H. SLONE, ESQ. 223 South Maple Avenue Greensburg, PA 15601

Chapter 7 Trustee in Shanni Snyder case:

Zebley Mehalov & White, P.C. By: CHARLES O. ZEBLEY, ESQ.

18 Mill Street Square

P.O. Box 2124

Uniontown, PA 15401

7

8

11

15

17

18

24

25

ancillary item that I do need to address and this is somewhat $2 \parallel$ tangentially related and, again, getting -- running afoul of my own admonition of not moving far afield from the matters at $4 \parallel$ hand, but one thing that was raised that has been also 5 troubling to the Court, is in the pleadings and the papers there was a reference to the involvement of the North Huntington Police Department at some point.

And there was a representation made that one of my proceeding memos was given to the police department for the purpose of expanding upon or explaining what was meant by the Court's Order or in view of some sort of interpretation of what 12 that Order was. And, so, because that involves an allegation against Mr. Otto, I'd like to hear from Mr. Otto with respect to the circumstances there.

And by doing so, I want to remind Mr. Otto, to the 16 extent he's not aware, this is available on my website, is that proceeding memos are not to be construed as orders of the Court, they are not even to be construed as transcripts of what happened in the Court, it is merely for the convenience of the parties and the convenience of the Court to have a general sense of what was discussed for the purpose of identifying where documents and things may be worthy of further examination. But, to the extent that there is a need for a transcript the parties are directed to get the transcript itself.

5

8

11

12

17

18

23

24

So, another concern I have is the use of a proceeding 2 memo and the suggestion that somehow that was viewed to be an Order of the Court, or reflected upon the Court's authority of $4 \parallel$ what was and was not permitted. Can you address that for me?

MR. OTTO: Your Honor, I believe that what you're 6 referring to is a police report that Ms. Biros and I attempted to file against an individual who was removing a video monitor from the property. This was at a time after which you had given control of the property to Ms. Biros, as well as the Trustee and the Trustee did not give this individual authorization to be on the site.

Ms. Biros has been accused throughout this proceeding of either stealing Mr. Snyder's property or destroying it or doing something with it and since this individual did not have permission from either the Trustee, so far as I was able to ascertain or from Ms. Biros, to be on the site. And we had the license plate of his car, we did not know at that point who it was but we reported it the following Monday to the North Huntington Township Police. To my knowledge they took no action other than an investigation. But, there was a question of what authority did we have to control the site and that was what I used your Opinion, or your transcript or the proceeding memo.

THE COURT: So, you gave a copy of the proceeding 25 \parallel memo to the police.

MR. OTTO: Thank you. Yes.

1

2

3

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

17

19

21

22

THE COURT: Okay. And for what purpose was it given?

MR. OTTO: Because the North Huntington police $4\parallel$ questioned why they should get involved in it. And because 5 they had been told by other people involved in this case that 6 Ms. Biros didn't own the property, didn't have the right to keep people out and what was in that proceeding memo, in essence, asserted that Ms. Biros did have that authority.

THE COURT: Okay. So, you used the proceeding memo $10\parallel$ with an officer of the law to suggest that Ms. Biros had a legal right to something.

MR. OTTO: I would say that's correct, yes, Your 13 Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MR. OTTO: Your Honor, and I say this not as an excuse, but I was not aware of the policy of this Court as to proceeding memos. I will keep that in mind at all times 18 hereafter.

THE COURT: But, you've been represented by local 20 counsel throughout this entire time.

MR. OTTO: I understand that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And you, when you practice and appear 23 before a Court, you are expected to be mindful of what the rules of the Court are and what the Court's procedures are, are 25 you not?

```
MR. OTTO:
                        I understand that, Your Honor.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: All right, thank you.
 3
             MS. BIROS: Your Honor, may I ask you a question?
 4
   I'm sorry.
 5
             THE COURT:
                        Go ahead.
 6
             MS. BIROS: The North Huntington Police Department
 7
   told us they had no authority in anything overseeing this.
 8
   They told us personally that they spoke to you.
 9
             THE COURT: I did not speak with the police
10
   department.
11
             MS. BIROS: They spoke to you --
             THE COURT: They called my office --
12
13
             MS. BIROS:
                         Okay.
             THE COURT: -- and the response from my office was,
14
   as would be standard in any action, is that the Court's Orders
   speak for themselves.
16
17
             MS. BIROS:
                         Okay.
18
             THE COURT: But when we say that, we mean the Court's
19 Orders, not proceeding memos.
20
             MS. BIROS:
                         Okay.
21
             THE COURT: And I'm very clear that proceeding memos
   have no effect and no relevance, whatsoever, to anything and
   should not be used in any fashion to suggest that they are
23
24
   something beyond which they are, which is the simple notes of
25
  the hearing.
```

5

6

11

15

All right. I think that concludes everything that's 2 set on the Court's agenda for today. Is there any other matters that the parties want to raise with the Court at this 4 point?

All right. So, based on the following, I have indicated that I will enter the Stipulation between Shanni Snyder and Trustee Zebley and Trustee Slone, with the caveats noted on the record. I will grant the Stay Relief Motion filed by Christine Biros as indicated on the record. I will deny the 10∥ Motion to Enforce the Order confirming the sale of property that is also subject to consent to Stay Relief for the purposes of having a claim objection to the extent Ms. Biros seeks to do one, against the Shanni Snyder claim and that will also otherwise resolve the pending removed action.

I have the Order to Show Cause related to the Rule 9011 issues. That is going to be continued to a future date. $17 \parallel I'$ ve outlined what I think my initial reactions are to the 18 Motion to give the benefit of the Court's insights but I expect that it's incumbent upon Ms. Biros and Mr. Bernstein to justify the number why \$144,000 was reasonable and if it is reasonable to tell me why in the context of this case and the proof of claim and, furthermore, why any possible objections that might exist from other parties would be relevant to that discussion, particularly if the Trustee could consent to a number that 25 would otherwise be considered by the Court. So, that will be

set for a future date.

1

2

3

5 |

6

7

8

11

17

23

24

25

And then with respect to the two Show Cause Orders, I'm sorry, one Show Cause Order but it's issued against George Snyder and Christine Biros, I have my record at this point, so I'll take another look at that and issue an Order based on those considerations.

So, with that, I appreciate the parties hanging in there for what has been a prolonged day but I think notwithstanding that we have consolidated a number of issues, 10 | made some progress which is more than I can say in other instances with this case, but I'm going to end with what I started, which is, I want folks to think twice about what they file, what they do and how they proceed in this matter because I'm not tolerating any more shenanigans and I'm not tolerating any items that go beyond what is permissible in these actions. That has prolonged this case too long and too far and cost too much in expenses and I think from the Show Cause Orders the 18 Court has set the tone at this point that to the extent necessary, I will address these issues vigorously going forward. So, with that we will consider the matter to be concluded. The Court will now stand adjourned and we will close the record. Thank you for your participation again, have a good weekend.

ALL: Thank you, Your Honor.

CERTIFICATION

WE, ALYCE H. STINE, TAMMY DERISI and ELAINE HOWELL, court approved transcribers, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter and to the best of our ability.

/s/ Alyce H. Stine	/s/ Tammy DeRisi
ALYCE H. STINE	TAMMY DeRISI

/s/ Elaine Howell

ELAINE HOWELL

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. DATE: January 31, 2023